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Insulin-Degrading Enzyme as a Downstream Target of Insulin Receptor Signaling Cascade: Implications for Alzheimer’s Disease Intervention
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Insulin-degrading enzyme (IDE) is one of the proteins that has been demonstrated to play a key role in degrading β-amyloid (Aβ) monomer in vitro and in vivo, raising the possibility of upregulating IDE as an approach to reduce Aβ. Little is known, however, about the cellular and molecular regulation of IDE protein. Because one of the main functions of IDE is to degrade insulin, we hypothesized that there is a negative feedback mechanism whereby stimulation of insulin receptor-mediated signaling upregulates IDE to prevent chronic activation of the pathway. We show that treatment of primary hippocampal neurons with insulin increased IDE protein levels by ~25%. Insulin treatment also led to phosphatidylinositol-3 (PI3) kinase activation evidenced by Akt phosphorylation, which was blocked by PI3 kinase inhibitors, wortmannin and LY 29402. Inhibition of PI3 kinase abolished the IDE upregulation by insulin, indicating a cause–effect relationship between insulin signaling and IDE upregulation. Further support for this link was provided by the findings that deficient insulin signaling (decreased PI3 kinase subunit P85) was correlated with reduced IDE in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) brains and in Tg2576 Swedish amyloid precursor protein transgenic mice fed a safflower oil-enriched (“Bad”) diet used to accelerate pathogenesis. Consistent with IDE function in the degradation of Aβ monomer, the IDE decrease in the Bad diet-fed Tg2576 mice was associated with increased Aβ monomer levels. These in vitro and in vivo analyses validate the use of enhanced CNS insulin signaling as a potential strategy for AD intervention to correct the IDE defects occurring in AD.
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Introduction

Insulin-degrading enzyme (IDE), a metalloprotease enzyme responsible for insulin degradation, has been demonstrated to play a key role in degrading β-amyloid (Aβ) peptide degradation both in vitro and in vivo and is selective for Aβ monomer (Vekrellis et al., 2000; Farris et al., 2003, 2004). Genetic linkage studies have linked Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and plasma Aβ42 levels to chromosome 10q, which harbors the IDE gene (Bertram et al., 2000; Ertekin-Taner et al., 2000). Subsequent genetic studies established a direct linkage of genetic variants in a haplotype block-spanning IDE to 10q, which harbors the IDE gene (Bertram et al., 2000; Ertekin-Taner et al., 2000). IDE has been observed in human CSF, and its activity, levels, and mRNA are decreased in AD brain tissue and associated with increased Aβ levels (Cook et al., 2003). The regulation of IDE levels, however, is essentially unknown.

The recent rise in interest in insulin signaling and its relationship with AD is driven to a large extent by the increasing number of prospective and retrospective epidemiological findings, which indicate that type II diabetes mellitus is a significant risk factor for developing AD (Ott et al., 1996, 1999; Leibson et al., 1997). Type II diabetes mellitus is characterized by insulin resistance, reduced insulin secretion, and overt hyperglycemia (Olson and Norris, 2004). The enhanced risk for developing AD in diabetic patients remains strong even when vascular factors are controlled, suggesting a nonvascular mechanism for diabetes-related AD pathogenesis (Peila et al., 2002).

The relationship between diabetes mellitus and AD is particularly intriguing given the finding that AD patients have lower CSF insulin levels and reduced insulin-mediated glucose disposal when compared with healthy control subjects (Craft et al., 1998, 2003). This parallel decrease of insulin and its degrading enzyme IDE in AD raises the possibility that insulin might normally upregulate IDE in the brain via a negative feedback control mechanism to limit the insulin response. Also, unlike peripheral insulin receptors, insulin receptors in the brain are not downregulated by high concentrations of insulin (Boyd and Raizada, 1983; Zahniser et al., 1984), leaving IDE as a plausible effector molecule with upregulation that could turn off insulin signaling in the presence of high insulin levels. Consistent with this hypothesis, a recent report by Ho et al. (2004) showed that insulin-resistance caused...
by a high-fat diet is associated with reduced IDE levels and increased amyloidosis in an AD animal model.

To test the hypothesis that insulin might upregulate IDE via a negative feedback control mechanism, we used both in vitro and in vivo strategies to determine the impact of insulin signaling on IDE levels. Results obtained from primary hippocampal neurons, AD brains, and Tg2576 mice fed a safflower oil-enriched “Bad” diet establish a causal relationship between insulin signaling and IDE. Results from this study provide the first documentation of IDE regulation by insulin signaling and suggest that enhancement of the CNS insulin signaling cascade could be used as a potential therapeutic strategy for AD.

Materials and Methods

**Primary hippocampal neuronal culture.** Use of animals was approved by the Greater Los Angeles Veterans Administration Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and University of California Los Angeles Chancellor’s Animal Research Committee (Protocol No. 9804-014). Primary cultures of hippocampal neurons were prepared as described previously (Zhao et al., 2003). Briefly, hippocampi were dissected from the brains of embryonic day 18 (E18) Sprague Dawley rat fetuses, treated with 0.05% trypsin in HBSS for 5 min at 37°C, and dissociated by repeated passage through a series of fire-polished constricted Pasteur pipettes. For immunocytochemical staining analyses, between 20,000 and 40,000 cells were seeded onto poly-d-lysine (10 μg/ml)-coated 8-well chamber slides, and cultures used for Western immunoblotting and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) analyses were plated onto poly-d-lysine-coated 6-well plates at a density of 10⁵ cells per well. Nerve cells were grown in phenol red-free Neurobasal medium (NB; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with B27, 5 μM penicillin, 5 μg/ml streptomycin, 0.5 μM glutamine, and 25 μM glutamate, at 37°C in 10% CO2. Cultures grown in phenol red-free Neurobasal medium (NB; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) were then treated with insulin and/or other pharmacological agents for 24 hr in NB without B27 supplement.

**Cell lysate preparation from hippocampal neurons.** Treated neurons were washed with cold PBS once and scraped off the dish into 1 ml of PBS. Cells were then centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min, and the pellets were dissolved in the lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM NaH2PO4, 1% PBS. Cells were then centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min, and the pellets were washed with cold PBS once and centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C. The resultant supernatant was collected as the TBS fraction. The pellets were redissolved in lysis buffer containing a mixture of protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Samples were sonicated briefly and centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C. The resultant supernatant was collected as the TBS fraction.

**Handling of tissue.** Postmortem tissue from temporal cortex and hippocampus was obtained from the University of Southern California and the University of California Los Angeles Alzheimer’s Disease Research Center Pathology Core. Controls (15–20) were compared with 15–20 AD patients with moderate disease. The age of death, gender, and postmortem interval were comparable in both groups. Specifically, the age of death of the AD subjects ranged between 63 and 97 years, with an average age of 83.33 ± 1.97 years. This was not significantly different from the cognitively normal group, which ranged from 62 to 95 years and averaged 81.69 ± 2.91 years. The gender was balanced, with 44% male and 56% female for the AD and 54% male and 46% female for the cognitively normal subjects. The postmortem interval ranged from 3.5 to 15 hr, with an average of 7.85 ± 1.08 hr for the AD, and from 1.5 to 19 hr, with an average of 7.74 ± 1.29 hr for the cognitively normal group, again not significantly different. The human tissue was frozen before being homogenized and processed for protein measurement, and it was processed using a similar method as for mouse tissue.

**Western immunoblotting.** Protein concentration was determined by the Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA) DC protein assay. An appropriate volume of 5× sample buffer was added to the protein samples, which were then boiled at 100°C for 5 min. For each Aβ Western detection, samples (35 μg of proteins per well) were loaded on 10% Tris-glycine gels and resolved by standard electrophoresis at 12 mA. Proteins were then electrophoretically transferred to Immobilon-P polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes for 3.5 hr at 400 mA. For Aβ species separation, the protein samples were resolved on 10–20% Tris-Tricine gradient gels at 150 mA and transferred to Immobilon-P PVDF membranes for 2 hr at 400 mA. Membranes were incubated for 1 hr at room temperature in 10% nonfat dried milk in PBS and then incubated overnight at 4°C with appropriate primary antibodies in PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS-T) and 1.5% (w/v) albumin. After rinsing, the membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1:10,000) or anti-rabbit IgG (1:30,000) in PBS-T with 1.5% albumin for 1 hr. Immunoreactive bands were visualized by ECL Western detection reagents and quantified using densitometric software (Molecular Analyst II, Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA). After transfer, gels were stained with Coomassie blue to ensure equal protein loading.

**LDH release analysis.** LDH release into the culture media was measured using a CytoTox 96 Non-Radioactive Cytotoxicity Assay (Promega, Madison, WI), and absorption was read at 490 nm.

**Immunocytochemical staining.** Hippocampal neurons were cultured on poly-D-lysine-coated 8-well chamber slides for 7–10 d before experimental treatments. After treatment, the slides were washed briefly with PBS and then fixed with 95% methanol at 4°C. Nonspecific antibody binding was blocked by incubation in the blocking buffer (containing 3% goat serum, 10% horse serum, 0.3% Triton X-100) for 30 min at room temperature. The slides were then incubated with appropriate primary antibodies against IDE (rabbit polyclonal, 1:500) or phosphorylated-Akt (rabbit polyclonal, 1:500) at temperatures and times specified by the antibody providers. All primary antibodies were dissolved in PBS. After they were washed with PBS-T, the slides were incubated in anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody conjugated with FITC (1:250; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) for 30 min. The secondary antibody was also dissolved in PBS. The slides were washed extensively with PBS and coverslipped with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)-containing mounting medium (Vector Laboratories) and viewed under a fluorescent microscope. Images of immunocytochemically stained hippocampal neurons were assembled into montages using Adobe Photoshop. NIH Image software was used to quantify the fluorescence levels.

**Real-time PCR for IDE and p85 mRNA.** Total RNA was prepared using RNAqueous kits (Ambion, Austin, TX). The absence of RNA degradation was confirmed by gel electrophoresis, and total RNA was DNase treated (DNA-free; Ambion). cDNA was generated from 1.4 μg of total RNA in two reaction volumes of RETROscript (Ambion) using dT primer and then aliquoted for single uses. The primers and fluorescent
probes for IDE TaqMan real-time PCR were purchased from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA) (Assay on Demand #Mm00473077_m1). The primers for p85 SYBR Green PCR were designed with the Primer Express software (Applied Biosystems) using the mouse p85α sequence NCBI#U50413. The primer sequences for p85 were ACCGTGTAACT-GAGCTCGACAA (forward) and TAGAAGCTGCTGATCATCAAACA (reverse). The absence of nonspecific PCR was confirmed by gel electrophoresis using 45-cycle PCR products (data not shown). CDNA for relative standard curves was prepared from Tg (−/−) mouse brain with the same protocols with double RNA concentration in the reverse transcription step. Real-time PCR was performed by SDS7700 (Applied Biosystems) with TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) for p85, using triplicates for samples and quadruplicates for standards. Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was measured as the internal control using TaqMan Rodent GAPDH control (Applied Biosystems). Consistent GAPDH levels confirm the absence of RNA and/or cDNA degradation (data not shown). The absence of contamination was checked using RT products made without Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase. The R^2 of relative standard curves was >0.99. R^2 of mRNA levels from the same samples but measured on different days was ~0.9. Despite the great reproducibility and use of the same five points standards in every plate, ~5% difference in average values between different PCR plates with same samples was observed (data not shown). For optimum reliable comparisons, only sample values from the same PCR plate were analyzed statistically and shown here.

**Chemicals.** Insulin was obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO); wortmannin and LY 294002 were purchased from Calbiochem (La Jolla, CA). Primary antibodies used in this study include anti-IDE (Oncogene Research Products, San Diego, CA), anti-pAkt (Cell Signaling Biotechnologies, Beverly, MA), anti-actin (Chemicon International, Temecula, CA), and anti-Aβ (6E10; Signet Laboratories, Dedham, MA). The rabbit IDE antibody purchased from Oncogene Research Products (catalog #PC730) is produced from a recombinant glutathione S-transferase fusion protein containing amino acids 97–273 of rat IDE. The known species reactivity includes human, mouse, and rat, and it is used for both immunoblotting and immunocytochemistry purposes. Specificity of the IDE antibody was demonstrated by a single 115 kDa band in Western immunoblotting when soluble fractions from human and rat brain and liver were probed with this antibody (Morelli et al., 2003).

**Data analysis.** Data were presented as group means ± SEM. Immunoblot data were expressed as the percentage relative to controls (unstimulated cells, normal brains, or Tg2576 mice on standard diet) run in the same experiment or their optical density values. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA followed by Fisher’s PLSD post hoc analyses.

**Results**

**Insulin induces IDE upregulation in primary hippocampal neurons**

Because insulin often induces different physiological responses at different doses, we conducted dose–response experiments to determine the appropriate doses to observe insulin-induced IDE changes. Hippocampal neurons were treated with 0.2, 2, 20, 200, and 500 nM insulin for 24 hr, and IDE protein levels were determined. Western immunoblotting data shown in Figure 1A indicate that insulin significantly increased IDE protein levels in hippocampal neurons at 20, 200, and 500 nM (155.81 ± 14.49% relative to control at 20 nM, 167.86 ± 25.02 at 200 nM, and 157.69 ± 29.39 at 500 nM (*p = 0.05); 20 nM was selected for the following mechanistic experiments because it has an effective concentration that produces a near saturation in IDE levels.

The insulin receptor is a heterotetrameric tyrosine kinase receptor. After binding insulin, it undergoes autophosphorylation and subsequently tyrosine phosphorylates insulin receptor substrates, src homology 2 domain-containing and growth factor receptor bound-2 associated binder-1, each of which provides specific docking sites for other signaling molecules. These events lead to activation of phosphatidylinositol-3 (P13) kinase (Kim et al., 2004). Because P13 kinase activation is a well recognized consequence of insulin signaling, we determined whether IDE upregulation was through P13 kinase activation. Two P13 kinase inhibitors, wortmannin (50 nM) and LY 294002 (25 μM), were used to block P13 kinase activation. The use of two structurally different inhibitors here rules out the possibility of nonspecific pharmacological effects of the inhibitors. Our results show that both inhibitors blocked insulin-induced IDE upregulation (105.73 ± 4.08% for wortmannin and 81.36 ± 6.02% for LY 294002, relative to control) (Fig. 1B), suggesting that P13 kinase is the intermediate molecule mediating insulin-induced IDE expression. To ensure that insulin did activate P13 kinase and that wortmannin and LY 294002 did in fact block P13 kinase activation, we determined the activity of P13 kinase after insulin treatment by determining the levels of pAkt, a downstream target of P13 kinase activation. As expected, our results confirmed the activation of P13 kinase by insulin (136.96 ± 7.21% relative to control; *p = 0.05) and showed that the wortmannin and LY 294002 were indeed P13 kinase blockers in our experiments (Fig. 1B).

To ensure that the abolishment of insulin-induced IDE upregulation by P13 kinase inhibitors is not caused by compromised cell survival, LDH release was measured in the neuronal media. No significant differences in LDH release among the different treatment groups were observed (Fig. 1B), indicating that insulin effects on IDE and P13 kinase were not caused by changes in cell viability. Also, our primary neuronal cultures are predominantly terminally differentiated and nondividing. To confirm that the protein changes in response to insulin treatment were not caused by increased cell number, for example, from stem cells, we quantified actin levels, which were equal among the four treatment groups.

The Western blot data on insulin-induced IDE upregulation and P13 kinase activation were further supported by our immunochemical staining results. Figure 2 indicates that insulin increased the intensity of cytoplasmic IDE immunofluorescence per cell in hippocampal neurons (*p = 0.05 relative to control levels) (Fig. 2), suggesting an insulin-induced increase in IDE expression. Consistent with the Western blot results, the per cell IDE and pAkt increases induced by insulin did not occur in the presence of either wortmannin or LY 294002, using doses that did not impact neuronal number, as measured by DAPI-positive neuronal nuclei (Fig. 2).
Correlation of PI3 kinase (p85) and IDE in human brains

Because one of the pathological hallmarks of AD is increased amyloid plaques composed of aggregated Aβ, we investigated whether IDE was decreased in AD brains and whether the decreased IDE was correlated with the levels of PI3 kinase. Levels of p85, a subunit of PI3 kinase, were determined as an index for the levels of PI3 kinase. Hippocampus (Fig. 3A) and temporal cortex (Fig. 3B) were obtained from normal and AD brains, and IDE and p85 levels were determined. Both IDE and p85 were decreased in AD hippocampus (73.52 ± 6.29% for IDE and 65.83 ± 6.13% for p85; *p = 0.05 relative to levels in normal) and AD temporal cortex (70.95 ± 6.96% for IDE and 42.39 ± 6.95% for p85; *p = 0.05 relative to levels in normal) (Fig. 3A,B).

To determine the relationship between IDE and p85, we ran a correlation between them and found that IDE and p85 levels were significantly correlated in both the hippocampus ($R^2 = 0.43; p = 0.001$) and temporal cortex ($R^2 = 0.25; p \leq 0.01$), providing an in vivo support for the relevance of the causal relationship between PI3 kinase and IDE demonstrated in vitro (Fig. 3A,B).

The reduction of IDE and p85 was found to be specific in AD brains because other proteins, such as SNAP25 (soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein 25) and neuron-specific enolase, were not changed in AD brains (data not shown). A trend of synaptophysin decrease was observed in AD brains, but the effect was not significant. Selective neuron loss occurs in temporal cortex, but measures of global neuron loss, for example, loss of neurofilament positive neurons, was not seen when AD and control cortex were compared (Shepherd et al., 2001). We also stained our own set of normal and AD temporal cortex with cresyl violet and saw no obvious difference in total neuronal number, indicating that the reduced expression of IDE and PI3 kinase in AD was not likely caused solely by the reduced number of neurons (data not shown). Also, because postsynaptic density-95 (PSD-95) was also significantly downregulated in AD brains, the correlation between IDE and PSD-95 was determined, and no significant correlation was observed between them ($R^2 = 0.001$ in hippocampus and $R^2 = 0.002$ in temporal cortex), suggesting that the correlation between IDE and p85 levels in human brains was not simply secondary to mutual loss, but more specific. Interestingly, when the IDE levels were analyzed based on apolipoprotein E (ApoE) genotype, we found that patients with two copies of ApoE4 had the lowest IDE levels, followed by those with one copy of ApoE4, and the highest IDE levels were seen in the human subjects without ApoE4 (Fig. 3C,D). This is consistent with the results of Cook et al. (2003) which found that IDE levels are reduced by up to 50% in ApoE4+ human subjects compared with ApoE4− subjects.

**Correlation of insulin signaling and IDE in an AD animal model**

Mice on a high-fat diet exhibit decreased insulin signaling and decreased IDE levels, supporting their physiological link in an AD animal model (Ho et al., 2004). Also, Tg2576 Swedish amyloid precursor protein mutant mice raised on a diet substituting soy and fish oil fat in standard PMI 5015 chow with 6% safflower oil as the source of fat (Bad diet) have been shown by our laboratory to possess a number of AD-related postsynaptic deficits, which serve as an improved animal model for AD pathology (Calon et al., 2004). We therefore compared the levels of IDE and p85 in mice fed the standard diet and the Bad diet. Similar to what is occurring in AD brains, both IDE and p85 mRNAs were de-
creased in Bad diet-fed animals (71.59 ± 5.34% for IDE and 68.01 ± 3.83% for p85; *p = 0.05 relative to mice on standard diet), and the mRNA levels of IDE and p85 were significantly correlated (R^2 = 0.51; p = 0.01) (Fig. 4A). Similarly, protein levels of IDE and p85 were reduced in Bad diet-fed mice (27.85 ± 20.33% for IDE and 2.27 ± 1.84% for p85; *p = 0.05 relative to mice on standard diet), and the protein levels of IDE and p85 were also significantly correlated (R^2 = 0.43; p = 0.01) (Fig. 4A).

It is noteworthy, however, that the loss in p85 and IDE in the Bad diet-fed mice and their correlation were selective and specific; for example, levels of synaptophysin were not changed in these mice (Calon et al., 2004), and although drebrin, a postsynaptic molecule, was also decreased in mice on the Bad diet (Calon et al., 2004), there was no significant correlation between the levels of IDE and drebrin.

Because IDE has been demonstrated to be a degrading enzyme for Aβ monomer, we determined the functional consequence of the decreased PI3 kinase and IDE levels in Bad diet-fed mice by measuring Aβ monomer levels in these mice with Western immunoblotting and 6E10 Aβ antibody. Not surprisingly, in the Bad (B) diet-fed mice, the Aβ monomer levels were significantly increased compared with animals raised on standard (S) diet (⁎p = 0.05) (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, levels of IDE and Aβ monomer in Tg2576 mice were significantly correlated (R^2 = 0.61; p = 0.001), suggesting that the increase in Aβ monomer in Bad diet-fed mice was related to the decrease in IDE.

Discussion
In the present study, we used both in vitro and in vivo strategies to determine the regulation of IDE levels by insulin signaling. The results from this study indicate that insulin signaling induced a modest but significant upregulation of IDE, which was abolished by inhibition of the insulin-signaling mediator, PI3 kinase. Our data on AD and Tg2576 brains were provided as in vivo evidence for the causal relationship between insulin signaling and IDE. Overall, these results suggest that strategies to enhance insulin signaling could be used as an intervention for AD. It is noteworthy, in addition, that although IDE is a degrading enzyme for insulin, enhancing insulin signaling to increase IDE would circumvent the problem of decreased insulin neurotrophic effects caused by upregulated IDE.

Aβ has been shown to decrease PI3 kinase activity (Takashima et al., 1996), which according to our finding would decrease IDE levels and in turn increase Aβ levels, thus creating a vicious cycle. Therefore, stimulating the insulin signaling and increasing PI3 kinase activity would break this cycle and reduce the accumulation of Aβ.

The effect of insulin on IDE upregulation is ~25–50%, a modest effect, suggesting a modulatory effect of insulin on the expression of its degrading enzyme. IDE upregulation by insulin signaling, therefore, could be used to bring the IDE to the normal physiological levels and correct the IDE defects in AD, thus re-
ducing the risk of Aβ accumulation. Also, IDE is not only a proteolytic degrading enzyme for insulin and Aβ, but also for a number of other peptides such as glucagon (Kirschner and Goldberg, 1983), β-endorphin, and dynorphins (Safavi et al., 1996). It is interesting to note that both AD and Down syndrome patients show significant elevations of dynorphins in the frontal cortex tissue (Risser et al., 1996), suggesting that IDE correction by insulin signaling in AD could also bring down other substrates that are abnormally high.

Our finding of the correlation between insulin signaling and IDE levels is consistent with the Ho et al. (2004) study, which demonstrated a simultaneous decrease in insulin signaling and IDE levels in Tg2576 mice on a high-fat diet. Because our diet is not an established high-fat dietary regimen that induces diabetes, we would not predict that it would induce peripheral insulin resistance as in the Ho et al. (2004) study. In fact, when different levels of a safflower oil diet (10, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 60% calories as fat) were fed to C57 female mice for 15 weeks, a significant deterioration of glucose tolerance was observed only in diets of >40% fat (Takahashi et al., 1999). Because our Bad diet has only 6% total fat, which is translated into ~15% calories as fat, it is well below the 40% level that induces peripheral insulin resistance. Therefore, there is no reason to predict that peripheral insulin resistance would occur on the 6% fat Bad diet, and the PI3 kinase pathway deficit occurring in the Bad diet-fed mice appears likely attributable to brain-specific diet and transgene interaction (Calon et al., 2004).

We also have to point out that although the IDE linkage to AD has been shown by a number of laboratories, there are some contradictory reports. For example, Abraham et al. (2001) found no significant association between any individual single nucleotide polymorphism in the IDE gene and AD. The controversy involved with these findings could be the result of differences in the human subjects used. Whatever the cause of variance in the genetic linkage findings, they do not discount the fact that IDE can degrade Aβ nor do they subtract from the significance of our observations that enhanced insulin signaling could be used to upregulate IDE to degrade the pathogenic Aβ.

Increased risk of AD in insulin-resistant diabetes mellitus
AD is characterized by three pathological hallmarks: amyloid plaques composed of aggregated Aβ, fibrillar tangles made of hyperphosphorylated tau, and synaptic defects leading to neuritic dystrophy and neuronal death. Increasing epidemiological evidence has demonstrated that type II diabetes mellitus is a potent risk factor for developing AD. Insulin resistance observed in diabetes has been shown to be linked to the AD pathological hallmarks described above. For example, in the diabetic mice used in the Ho et al. (2004) study, insulin resistance was associated with significant accumulation of Aβ and amyloid plaque burden at 9 months, as well as significant downregulation of phosphorylated glycogen synthase kinase 3, which leads to significant tau pathologies (Ho et al., 2004). Because IDE degrades monomeric Aβ and our Tg2576 study began at 17–19 months after plaques are well established (Kawarabayashi et al., 2001), we did not pursue the plaque endpoint but focused instead on monomer. A recent longitudinal cohort study reported that diabetes mellitus significantly increases the risk of developing AD by 65% (Arvanitakis et al., 2004). In addition, diabetes mellitus was associated with lower levels of cognition and memory (Arvanitakis et al., 2004). retrospective studies reported an increased incidence of AD in diabetic subjects (Leibson et al., 1997). Furthermore, the increased risk for developing AD is independent of its vascular effect, because when the vascular risk factors are controlled, the increased risk of AD remains strong (Grossman, 2003). Insulin resistance and defective insulin signaling are the principal characteristics of type II diabetes mellitus. Insulin resistance, therefore, appears as a potent accelerator of AD risks. Results reported in the current study suggest that one of the underlying mechanisms for the increased AD risk in diabetic subjects is chronically reduced IDE levels. The decreased IDE levels would cause increased Aβ monomer; although Aβ monomer per se has been shown to exert beneficial effects such as antioxidant and trophic effects (Smith et al., 2002), pushing the Aβ monomer–oligomer–fibril equilibrium forward would accelerate the formation of toxic oligomers. Also, consistent with Cook et al. (2003), we found that ApoE4 + AD patients have less IDE than those with no ApoE4 allele. Combined with the saturable IDE response to insulin, this result may shed light on the finding that ApoE4 + AD patients who have lower IDE and a larger range for an IDE response are more sensitive to insulin treatment-induced memory improvement than ApoE3/3 patients (Craft et al., 2003). With regard to the factors contributing to decreased insulin signaling, it is noteworthy that genetically determined defects in insulin signaling have been found to be linked to AD risk; for example, a p85 subunit polymorphism showed linkage to late-onset AD (Liolitsa et al., 2002). Besides genetic factors, reduced brain insulin levels can be caused by peripheral insulin resistance through a high-fat diet (Kaiyala et al., 2000). Also, although in our current study the n-3 unsaturated fatty acid-depleted Bad diet does not appear likely to cause peripheral insulin resistance, it contributes to reduced insulin signaling in the brain through downregulation of p85.

Significance of enhancing insulin signaling in AD therapeutics
The increased odds of AD in diabetic subjects, together with the positive impact of insulin on cognition and memory as discussed above, suggest that enhancement of insulin signaling could be used as an intervention strategy for AD. In fact, a number of studies support this hypothesis. Craft et al. (1998) determined the plasma and CSF insulin levels in AD and control subjects and found that AD patients had lower CSF insulin, higher plasma insulin, and a reduced CSF to plasma insulin ratio when compared with healthy adults. The differences were greater for patients with more advanced AD (Craft et al., 1998). Subsequent clinical studies by the same group showed that hyperinsulinemia with fasting glucose levels achieved by insulin and variable dextrose infusion into AD patients resulted in improved memory relative to placebo (Craft et al., 1999). Conversely, intracerebroventricular injection of streptozotocin caused long-term and progressive deficits in learning and memory as well as biochemical changes and neuronal degeneration in rats similar to those in AD (Grunblatt et al., 2004).

Although activation of insulin signaling may be ideal for AD therapeutics, insulin per se is not the ideal molecule. Although insulin can induce a signaling pathway leading to IDE upregulation, it competes with Aβ for available IDE. This is consistent with a recent study that insulin infusion causes increased CSF Aβ42 levels in subjects >70 years of age (Watson et al., 2003). Other problems with insulin use include hypoglycemia, which could worsen memory and cognition in AD patients. In fact, systemic insulin administration has been associated with memory deficits, likely caused in part by hypoglycemia that occurs when exogenous insulin is not supplemented with glucose to maintain endogenous glucose levels (Watson and Craft, 2004). Therefore, insulin receptor modulators that are not a substrate of IDE, and preferably act in the CNS, should be investigated for.
their potential preventive and therapeutic effects on AD pathology. Our data on the Bad diet-fed mice also suggest that dietary fat is a candidate to impact the insulin signaling. In summary, because enhanced insulin signaling may help to correct neuron defects in AD and can also reduce Aβ monomer, this may be a crucial strategy for AD intervention.

References


